DEFENSE OPENS CASE IN ACTION ON TRADE MARKS: WING ON COMPANY CLAIM

The China Press (1925-1938); Feb 28, 1925; ProQuest Historical Newspapers: Chinese Newspapers Collection

DEFENSE OPENS CASE IN ACTION ON TRADE MARKS

Wing On Company Claim Cigars
Manufactured In Manifa
Are Different

The defense in the trade mark in the British Supreme Court, wherein Mr. Carl Ingenohl of Antwerp seeks to enjoin the Wing On company of Shanghai from selling cigars brands manufactured by Ingenont factory at Hongkong presented part of its evidence The hearings will be yesterday. continued Monday morning and are expected to be completed by the middle of the week.

that it is distributing eigars manufactured in Manila and not in Hongkong and that boxes differ as to trade marks in several respects.

The defense detailed yesterday

The Wing On company is distributing etgars with an export label from the Philippine Islands, something not contained on the boxes from Hongkong.

"We are carrying on the former business as successors."

(Continued on Page 16)

pany have been to represent to consumers that they are getting products of the Hougkong factory.

Defense Opens Case In Action On Trade Marks

Mr. Mucleod told the court. "There'is no evidence that Wing On:38 representing untruths."

The counsel took up the effect climatic conditions have on eigars, following testimony by Herman Sleling that the weather did have an important bearing on tobacco. The counsel said it was impossible to produce the same cigar in China that is produced in Manila, despite the fact that tobacco from the same part of the Philippines is used.

ings as to what sells a cigar.

The counsel and that was hard to obtermine but that the whole setup of the box had its share in the sale. The trade mark and the main and other receive and

esting question into the proceed-

in the sale. The trade mark and the name and other factors enter into the sale of a clear. The yease was termed a "passing of" one by Mr. Macleod. Mr. Macleod argued much of his case

systemas as if he were representing the Walter E. Olsen Company of Manila, because, he will that company was interested that he final result. He said no other remedy apparently was open to the plaintiff, as there is no effective trade mark law in Chica. The point to be considered in each lies of the Wing On Committee of the Wing On Committee of the Wing On Committee.