

DECLASSIFIED

Authority 775007

284098

Enclosure No. 1 Copy hereof has been sent to the American Consulate General at Shanghai, China, on June 7, 1928, on the subject of [redacted].
Copy has also been sent to the U.S. Department of Commerce.

No. 5488

Off. of Patents

P 93-543
101

AMERICAN CONSULAR SERVICE

American Consulate General,
Shanghai, China, June 7, 1928.

Subject: Registration of Trade Marks.

To whom:

THE HONORABLE

THE SECRETARY OF STATE,

WASHINGTON.

THIS COPY MUST BE
RETURNED TO A-G/C
ROOM 374-1/2

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

Sir:

I have the honor to refer to the despatch No.

5230 of January 21, 1928, from this Consulate Gen-

eral to the Department, and to transmit herewith a

1/-

copy of a self-explanatory despatch No. 5528 of this date, with enclosures, from this Consulate Gen-

eral to the American Legation at Peking, in regard

to the subject above mentioned.

I have the honor to be, Sir,

Your obedient servant,

Enclosure No. 1, copy of a despatch No. 5230 of January 21, 1928, from this Consulate General.

Edwin S. Cunningham,
American Consul General.

Enclosure:

1/- Copy of a despatch No. 5528 dated June 7, 1928, to the Legation.

Despatch in quintuplicate.
Enclosure in quintuplicate.

EL:CHW
854

com

20-1

Enclosure No. 1 to despatch of Edwin S. Cunningham, American Consul General at Shanghai, China, to the Department, dated June 7, 1928, on the subject: "Registration of Trade Marks."

No. 5528

to the Consul General Foreign Affairs for Shanghai.

AMERICAN CONSULAR SERVICE

However, as you will note from the copy of the law

quoted in enclosure No. 1, dated June 7, 1928,

there referred to concerning law only the laws and

subject: Registration of Trade Marks, but, has

been particularly the Peking Trade Marks Law of 1926.

The Honorable fully aware under what conditions such

as Mr. J. V. A. MacMurray, the American Legation.

The Honorable American Minister, was therefore worded

accordingly. Peking, China.

This despatch was dated March 8, 1928, at which

Sir:

time no reply had been received to the previous despatch

and I have the honor to refer to the Legation's radio

instruction No. 36 of March 2nd, 6:00 p.m., which re-

quired this office, upon receipt of a telegram from

Canton to be sent in response to the Legation's tele-

gram of March 2nd, 6:00 p.m., to inquire at once of the

local authorities whether the law and regulations pro-

mulgated at Canton are the "old laws" mentioned in ar-

ticles 7, 8, and 9 of the first enclosure to despatch No.

6398 of January 21, 1928, from this Consulate General.

A radio from Consul Huston in charge at Canton,

dated March 5th, 1:00 p.m., was received at this office

on March 8th. This communicated the information that

trade mark registration rules and regulations effective

in Kwangtung and Kwangsi were promulgated February 27,

1926, by the then Ministry of Construction, but that they

were then being administered by the Bureau of Industry.

Thereafter a despatch embodying the terms of the

Legation's instruction referred to above, was forwarded

to the

Yan
P. 12
on
General
Gouvernement
Tessenderlo
1928.

-2-

to the Commissioner of Foreign Affairs for Kiangsu.
However, it was deemed politic in transmitting the inquiry to attempt an admission from him that the "old laws" referred to comprehended not only the laws and regulations promulgated by the Canton Government, but more particularly the Peking Trade Mark Law of 1923, which could rightly come under that designation. Such an admission was expected to have important implications. The Inquiry to the Commissioner was therefore worded accordingly. Provincial treatment and approval by the
This despatch was dated March 8, 1928, at which time no reply had been received to the previous communication of January 20, 1928, on the subject of the new "National Registration Bureau" which was referred to in the despatch to the Legation No. 5298 of January 24, 1928. On March 24, 1928, the Consistency was again addressed at length in the premissa, a copy of which despatch is transmitted herewith for the Legation's information. This further communication was not prompted by any reply from the Commissioner but was sent because of a notice appearing in the native press requiring new registrations to be effected with the "National Registration Bureau" forthwith. Attention is particularly directed to the statement in this enclosure that American trade mark owners and those acting for such owners are being advised not to register with the new bureau. Previously the Commissioner was progressively reminded in these various despatches of his responsibility in the matter of replying to these inquiries and representations, but it was not until May 26, 1928, that a despatch was received

from him, which purports to furnish a comprehensive answer thereto. A copy in translation of this self-explanatory despatch is transmitted herewith from which it will be observed that many important points which formed the subject of these inquiries and representations, have not been touched upon. However, it is apparent from this reply that the "old laws" previously referred to comprise the Peking Trade Mark Law of 1923, ~~and was ready for the application of June 1st, 1923, was received.~~ as well as the trade mark regulations promulgated by the ~~and was ready for the application of June 1st, 1923, was received.~~ Kwangtung Provincial Government and approved by the Nationalist Government on September 10, 1926, albeit ~~and was ready for the application of June 1st, 1923, was received.~~ this cannot be taken as an admission that the Nationalist Government recognizes the present validity of the Peking Trade Mark Law. On the contrary, this seems to be denied by the statement that "theoretically it is a matter of course that no law promulgated by Peking can be considered valid in the territory under control of the Nationalist Government". Moreover, the Commissioner has verbally insisted that the Chinese characters 舊制 should in this particular conjunction be translated as "existing system" instead of "old laws" as rendered by this office. Although "old system" is probably the best possible substitute with regard to the translation of their translation, there is no essential difference in the meaning of the two phrases. It is also to be noted from this reply that a recommendation was made to the Nationalist Government for the extension of the time limit for registrations and re-registrations with the "National Registration Bureau" to June 10, 1926, and that this extension has presumably been approved.

It is the intention of this Consulate General to

-4-

prepares a reply to the despatch dated May 21, 1926, from the Commissioner of Foreign Affairs for Kiangsu, as there is much material therein as well as the omissions previously mentioned, which necessitate further discussion. A copy of that reply will be transmitted to the Legation in due course.

I have the honor to be, Sir,
After a despatch on this subject had been written and was ready for transmission, the Legation's radio instruction No. 121 of June 1st, 6.00 p.m. was received. This repeated the direction made in the Legation's radio instruction No. 36 of March 2nd, 6.00 p.m. that this office ascertain and report immediately the meaning of the term "old law" which was referred to therein. Accordingly a reply was despatched by radio, No. 134 of June 5th, 9 a.m., giving briefly the meaning of this term as afforded by the translation of the Commissioner's despatch, a copy of which is attached hereto.

It is understood that a number of trade mark owners, including a limited number of Americans, have registered (or re-registered their Peking registrations) with the "National Registration Bureau." However, for some time past the Nationalist Government has seemed to maintain a passive attitude with respect to the application of their new registration law, due perhaps to the northward march of their troops and the possibilities which may arise therefrom. It may be contemplated that with Peking in their possession the Nationalists will be found amenable to a system of centralized registration having country-wide application and validity.

The Shanghai Provisional Court has not challenged

the validity

to despatch ~~the~~^{copy} of ~~the~~^{Mr.} main & Cunningham.
General ~~the~~^{the} Chinese, dated instant, 1820
and attention of Trade Ministers.

三

The following is a copy of the original printed letter of 1923. The
bold portions are the portions written by the author and underlined portions
are those written by the printer. The original letter is dated 1923.

I waited this morning for the bus, sitting,

卷之三

此皆爲我所不曉。吾聞之於人曰：「子雲之賦，雖有過庭，亦復可觀。」

Conrad No. 1999-1999

卷之三

- 1/2 cent will be deducted from the amount of
any bill or account which is paid in full by the 1st.

This image shows a close-up of a textured, light brown surface, likely the cover or endpaper of an old book. The surface is covered in numerous dark, irregular spots of varying sizes, giving it a mottled appearance. In the lower-left corner, there is a prominent, dark ink signature. The signature consists of a stylized, looping character on the left, followed by a more fluid, cursive script that tapers off towards the right. The overall texture is slightly grainy, and the lighting is even across the entire surface.

The image shows a close-up of a dark brown, textured surface, likely the cover or endpaper of an old book. The texture is grainy and uneven, with darker spots and areas of discoloration, suggesting age and wear. There is no text or other markings on the surface.

Enclosure No. 1 to despatch No. 5578 of Edwin S. Cunningham,
American Consul General, Shanghai, China, dated June 7, 1928
on the subject: "Registration of Trade Marks."

(COPY)

AMERICAN CONSULAR SERVICE
by the existing old law" as specified in article 7 of the
new registration law, Shanghai, China, March 24, 1928, to
which particular old laws are meant and that the text
there subject: Registration of Trade Marks. In the meantime
American trade mark owners, and those acting for such
The Honorable being advised not to register with the new
Commissioner of Foreign Affairs for Kiangsu,

In Shanghai. Meanwhile, that representations be
made to your government urging the adoption of a dual
Sir:
registration of trade marks in territory which, after

1/ All, I have the honor to enclose a clipping from the SIN
HAI PEG newspaper of March 14, 1928, a translation of which is quoted below: that such a measure, particularly
it is "the National Registration Bureau has ordered that
all registrations which have been effected in the
Trade Mark Bureau, Ministry of Agriculture and Com-
merce, Peking, and/or with local authorities, must
be re-registered on or before March 24, 1928. If
failing such re-registration within the prescribed
period, the original certificate of registration
will be declared null and void, and future protec-
tion of law will not be extended.

As the time limit is thus imminent, all firms,
companies, trade marks and mining enterprises which
it is desired to have re-registered must be register-
ed at once with the National Registration Bureau at
Nanking according to the new registration law enacted
by that Bureau. Information regarding procedure may
be obtained from the Shanghai Office of the Bureau."

Before making any recommendation to my Government on
the subject of the new registration law which has been
enacted by the Nationalist authorities, this Consulate
General desires to be officially informed by you concerning
the procedure which applicants for registrations of trade
marks will be required to follow. In this connection
it is requested that the text of the regulations (if
any exist) governing such procedure should be furnished.
Should it be the case that the procedure is to be governed

by

-2-

A Letter from Edward S. Cunningham, American Consul General

by the "existing old laws" as specified in article 7 of the
this Consulate General addressed a lengthy despatch to
new registration law, it is requested that you indicate
you on the subject of the newly established "National
which particular old laws are meant and that the text
Registration Bureau", in which a survey of inquiries
thereof be communicated to this office. In the meantime
thereupon were instituted. No reply has been received
American trade mark owners, and those acting for such
to this despatch and you were informed of this by New
owners, are being advised not to register with the new
law last. Therefore, the propriety, so say the least,
bureau.

of fixing and proclaiming on March 15, 1930, a time limit
It is desired, meanwhile, that representations be
for these re-registrations no later than on March 15, 1930,
made to your government urging the impolicy of a dual
with many difficulties relating to the new registration
registration of trade marks in territory which, after
all, should be regarded as a single unit economically,
be officially clarified, must be made apparent to your
its present political divisions notwithstanding. This

office is also persuaded that such a measure, particularly
if it should be precipitously enforced, cannot but lead
nearly with the requirements of this new registration
to wholesale piracy of trade marks which have already
law, that could not by reason of distance do so within
been registered at Peking by the bona fide owners and,
the more likely of the proposed time,
generally, to the detriment of trade and industry which
are already handicapped with so many self-evident dis-
abilities. Moreover, at the time the various foreign
in the provinces and that this Consulate General will do
governments waived their objections to the enforcement
of the Peking Trade Mark Registration Law of 1925, it

was believed and trusted that, according to the prin-
ciples of international law, registrations under that
act would be held valid throughout the territory of the
Chinese Republic. This office cannot therefore now
admit that a new registration be forced on its nationals
supplanting the existing system in that part of China
which is under the control of the Nationalist authorities.

You are asked to recall that on January 30, 1928,

Copied by CNW
Copied with signature

this

Enclosure No. 2 to despatch No. 552Y of Edwin S. Cunningham,
American Consul General at Shanghai, China, dated June 7, 1928,
on the subject: "Registration of Trade Marks."

- Letter from Chin Ho-Ssu, Commissioner of Foreign Affairs

to Changsha, to American Consul General, Shanghai.
this Consulate General addressed a lengthy despatch to
you on the subject of the newly established "National
Registration Bureau", in which a number of enquiries
therewant were instituted. No reply has been received
to this despatch and you were reminded of this on March
8th last. Therefore, the impropriety, to say the least,
of fixing and proclaiming on March 15, 1928, a time limit
for these re-registrations so imminent as March 24, 1928,
with many ambiguities relating to the new registration
law and its organ the "National Registration Bureau" to
be officially clarified, must be made apparent to your
Government. Under agreement for the consideration, I have
now even should a number of American firms desire to
comply with the requirements of this new registration
law, they could not by reason of distance do so within
the narrow limits of the prescribed time.

It is therefore requested that you will immediately
make strong and energetic representations to your Government
in the premises and that this Consulate general will be
accorded the courtesy of a prompt reply.

Accept, sir, the renewed assurance of my high con-
sideration, changed character as only one-fourth of the original
time such a decision is, and the fact, therefore,
is nothing to urge publication and in finally not a
representative as Mr. Edwin S. Cunningham,
with this and the American Consul General.

Enclosure: letter to the effect that such a policy for dual
usage, would not only tend to a wholesale piracy of the
270 trademarks registered with Peking but bring about confusion
with those. The number of
Copied by CHW
Compared with *agreement*

applying

Enclosure No. 2 to despatch No. 5528 of Edwin S. Cunningham,
American Consul General at Shanghai, China, dated June 7, 1928,
on the subject: "Registration of Trade Marks."

A letter from Chin Wen-hsu, Commissioner of Foreign Affairs
for Kiangsu, to American Consul General, Shanghai.

Applying for registration of our trademarks during the past few months is by no means small. It is naturally the desire of the re-registered owners and proprietors that we examine such new trademarks to ascertain if any of them is an unauthorized reproduction of the trademark registered at Peking. If so, the owners of trademarks should be ready May 31, 1928, this office for re-registration while you (Received May 22nd) according to law before the Nationalist Government applies for re-registration, we, in the absence of any record available for inspection, could only make new applications SIR, be limited. In this event, it would be still more difficult to avoid any complications that might arise in future.

The receipt is acknowledged of your various letters with reference to the registration of trademarks. Those by communications having been referred collectively by my predecessor, Mr. Cho, to the Ministry of Labor and Commerce of the Nationalist Government for its consideration, I have now received a reply to the effect that, acting under its instructions, the National Registration Bureau has submitted the following report: According to the law, according to me, the matter involved is great. Since the time never since the establishment of this Bureau, a considerable number of trademarks belonging to foreign merchants have been re-registered and new applications treated. The nationalities involved in such cases represent Britain, United States, Germany and Japan - a fact which indicates that, for the sake of their own interests, foreign merchants are willing to obey the law and ordinances of the Nationalist Government. Theoretically, it is a matter of course that no law promulgated by Peking can be considered valid in the territory under control of the Nationalist Government. The present requirement is nothing more than re-registration of the trademarks registered at Peking and the amount charged therefor is only one-fourth of the original fee for registration. It follows, therefore, that such a procedure is, for the most part, identical in nature to mere re-inspection and is (really) not a re-registration at all. The sympathy of our government with Chinese and foreign merchants is abundantly manifest and the statement, which the American Consul General made in his letter to the effect that such a policy for dual-registration of trademarks, if carried out with undue haste, would not only lead to a wholesale piracy of the trademarks registered with Peking but bring about detriment to commerce and industries, is certainly at variance with fact. The number of Chinese and foreign merchants

applying

-2-

the number of trademarks now applied for or registered by pirates is on the increase. On May 5, 1923, we received from the American Consul General a letter in which he advised that the number of applications for registration of new trademarks during the past few months is by no means small. It is entirely by means of the re-registered designs and descriptions that we examined such new trademarks to ascertain if any of them is an unauthorized reproduction of the trademark registered at Peking. If bona-fide owners of trademarks should be reluctant to call at this office for re-registration while pirates file applications according to law before the bona-fide owners apply for re-registration, we, in the absence of any record available for inspection, could only take the new applications to be lawful. In that event, it would be still more difficult to handle any complications that might arise in future.

It was simply because of the little dealy with which application was made for re-registration of the two trademarks PERLA DEL ORIENTE and COMETA DEL ORIENTE as used by American cigar manufacturers that the Belgian merchant, Inganohl, applied for registration of trade marks identical in denominations and similar in design to those of the American manufacturers and secured the privilege of their being passed as legitimate. The parties have now sued each other at this Bureau and Inganohl has filed his application to the Ministry (of Labor and Commerce) whereto we, having been ordered to decide upon the merits of the case, have submitted a report as shown by the record. In this particular instance, several debates will have to be held by the contending parties before the application can be granted or denied according to law and, needless to say, the amount of labor involved is great. Should the American manufacturers have filed their application at an earlier date, the Belgian trademarks, once examined, would have been turned down instead of being passed. It is obvious, therefore, that, unless dealt with according to the provisions of Article 5 of the Registration Law, a majority of the trademarks registered at Peking would be exposed to piracy - a situation which is just opposite to that alluded to by the American Consul General.

On May 5, 1923 when the Peking Trademark Bureau was created, foreign Consuls, taking treaty as a basis of their contention, unanimously opposed its establishment. As the matter is one which involves their interests, however, numerous foreign merchants, regardless of the will of their Consuls, applied to the Peking Trademark Bureau for registration of their trademarks. For three years thereafter or until June, 1926, foreign governments did not formally recognize the Chinese Trademark Law but, prior to its recognition, as many as over 14,000 applications were received for registration of foreign owned trademarks. This alone suffices to prove that foreign merchants are also well aware of the advantages of compliance with the domestic law of this country.

In the American Consul General's letter, an inquiry is made as to what law is meant by the expression CHIU CHIN which is applicable according to Article 7 of the Law of Registration. The only laws or ordinances existing on

the

the subject of trademark are the Trademark Law promulgated by Peking on May 3, 1923 and the Trademark Regulations approved by the Nationalist Government upon request of the Bureau of Commerce of the Provincial Government of Kuangtung under date of September 12, 1928. Except a change in the period for examination and publication of trademarks from 6 months to 4 months and a change in the period for application for review from three years to two years and except the elimination of the two clauses providing for re-examination and further review, the text of the Trademark Regulations is an exact copy of the Peking Trademark Law without any alteration whatsoever and the Rules for Enforcement (of the Peking Trademark Law and the Kuangtung Trademark Regulations) are entirely the same. It follows, therefore, that, although two laws exist, they do not conflict or interfere with each other and in no way are the registration of trademarks and the protection of the interest therein affected by their existence.

As to the time limit for re-registration, it is a matter of record that the suggestion to extend it to June 15, 1928, has been referred by this Bureau to the Ministry of Labor and Commerce and the Ministry of Agriculture and Mining of the Nationalist Government for approval. There are two months yet from the present time to the expiry of the proposed extension and, in view of the free communication with foreign countries, there would appear to be no ground for fear of lack of sufficient time for foreign merchants to exchange correspondence. Any further hesitation or delay on their part would mean of course that they are willing to waive their own right.

For your information and consideration, this report is submitted so that action may be taken accordingly."

In compliance with the Ministry's request for transmission of the above report, I have to bring the same to your attention.

With my compliments,

(Signed) Chin Wan-san.

Trans Ts
Checked by St
Copied by CHW
Compared with Yuen

